CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2345

Chapter 280, Laws of 1998

(partial veto)

55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW--RULE-MAKING NOTICES--JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE RULES COMMITTEE LEADERSHIP APPOINTMENT

EFFECTIVE DATE: 6/11/98

Passed by the House March 10, 1998 Yeas 83 Nays 15

CLYDE BALLARD

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Passed by the Senate March 6, 1998 Yeas 31 Nays 17

CERTIFICATE

I, Timothy A. Martin, Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2345** as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate on the dates hereon set forth.

BRAD OWEN

TIMOTHY A. MARTIN

President of the Senate

FILED

Chief Clerk

Approved April 2, 1998, with the exception of sections 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 13, which are vetoed.

April 2, 1998 - 2:17 p.m.

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

ENGROSSED SECOND SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 2345

AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

Passed Legislature - 1998 Regular Session

State of Washington 55th Legislature 1998 Regular Session

By House Committee on Appropriations (originally sponsored by Representative Reams)

Read first time 02/07/98. Referred to Committee on .

- AN ACT Relating to administrative law; amending RCW 34.05.230,
- 2 34.05.328, 34.05.330, 34.05.354, 34.05.370, 34.05.610, 34.12.040, and
- 3 48.04.010; adding new sections to chapter 34.05 RCW; adding a new
- 4 section to chapter 43.132 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an
- 5 expiration date.
- 6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 7 *Sec. 1. RCW 34.05.230 and 1997 c 409 s 202 are each amended to 8 read as follows:
- 9 (1) ((An agency may file notice for the expedited adoption of rules 10 in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section for rules 11 meeting any one of the following criteria:
- 12 (a) The proposed rules relate only to internal governmental 13 operations that are not subject to violation by a person;
- (b) The proposed rules adopt or incorporate by reference without
 material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state
 statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master
 programs other than those programs governing shorelines of state-wide
 significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national
 consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the

material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;

- (c) The proposed rules only correct typographical errors, make address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its effect;
- 6 (d) The content of the proposed rules is explicitly and
 7 specifically dictated by statute;
 - (e) The proposed rules have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved substantial participation by interested parties before the development of the proposed rule; or
- 12 (f) The proposed rule is being amended after a review under RCW 13 34.05.328 or section 210 of this act.
- (2) The expedited rule-making process must follow the requirements 14 15 for rule making set forth in RCW 34.05.320, except that the agency is 16 not required to prepare a small business economic impact statement 17 under RCW 19.85.025, a statement indicating whether the rule constitutes a significant legislative rule under 18 19 34.05.328(5)(c)(iii), or a significant legislative rule analysis under 20 RCW 34.05.328. An agency is not required to prepare statements of inquiry under RCW 34.05.310 or conduct a hearing for the expedited 21 adoption of rules. The notice for the expedited adoption of rules must 22 23 contain a statement in at least ten-point type, that is substantially 24 in the following form:

25 **NOTICE**

26 THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED TO BE ADOPTED USING AN 27 EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR 28 THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS 29 ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA 30 FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS RULE 31 BEING ADOPTED USING THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST 32 EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO 33 (INSERT NAME AND ADDRESS) AND RECEIVED BY (INSERT DATE).

(3) The agency shall send a copy of the notice of the proposed expedited rule making to any person who has requested notification of proposals for the expedited adoption of rules or of agency rule making,

34

35

36

1 2

3

4

5

8

9

10

- as well as the joint administrative rules review committee, within three days after its publication in the Washington State Register. An agency may charge for the actual cost of providing a requesting party mailed copies of these notices. The notice of the proposed expedited rule making must be preceded by a statement substantially in the form provided in subsection (2) of this section. The notice must also include an explanation of the reasons the agency believes the expedited adoption of the rule is appropriate.
 - (4) The code reviser shall publish the text of all rules proposed for expedited adoption along with the notice required in this section in a separate section of the Washington State Register. Once the text of the proposed rules has been published in the Washington State Register, the only changes that an agency may make in the text of these proposed rules before their final adoption are to correct typographical errors.

- (5) Any person may file a written objection to the expedited adoption of a rule. The objection must be filed with the agency rules coordinator within forty-five days after the notice of the proposed expedited rule making has been published in the Washington State Register. A person who has filed a written objection to the expedited adoption of a rule may withdraw the objection.
- (6) If no written objections to the expedited adoption of a rule are filed with the agency within forty-five days after the notice of proposed expedited rule making is published, or if all objections that have been filed are withdrawn by the persons filing the objections, the agency may enter an order adopting the rule without further notice or a public hearing. The order must be published in the manner required by this chapter for any other agency order adopting, amending, or repealing a rule.
- (7) If a written notice of objection to the expedited adoption of the rule is timely filed with the agency and is not withdrawn, the notice of proposed expedited rule making published under this section is considered a statement of inquiry for the purposes of RCW 34.05.310, and the agency may initiate further rule adoption proceedings in accordance with this chapter.
- 36 (8) Subsections (1) through (8) of this section expire on December 37 31, 2000.
- 38 an [An] agency is encouraged to advise the public of its current 39 opinions, approaches, and likely courses of action by means of

- interpretive or policy statements. Current)) Interpretive and policy statements or their equivalents, regardless of title, are advisory only. To better inform and involve the public, ((an)) each agency is encouraged to convert long-standing interpretive and policy statements into rules.
- (2) A person may petition an agency requesting the conversion of interpretive and policy statements into rules. Upon submission, the agency shall notify the joint administrative rules review committee of the petition. Within sixty days after submission of a petition, the agency shall either deny the petition in writing, stating its reasons for the denial, or initiate rule-making proceedings in accordance with this chapter.
 - ((\(\frac{(11)}{11}\)) (3) Each agency shall maintain a roster of interested persons, consisting of persons who have requested in writing to be notified of all interpretive and policy statements issued by that agency. Each agency shall update the roster once each year and eliminate persons who do not indicate a desire to continue on the roster. Whenever an agency issues an interpretive or policy statement, it shall send a copy of the statement to each person listed on the roster. The agency may charge a nominal fee to the interested person for this service.
 - (((12))) (<u>4</u>) Whenever an agency issues an interpretive or policy statement, it shall submit to the code reviser for publication in the Washington State Register a statement describing the subject matter of the interpretive or policy statement, and listing the person at the agency from whom a copy of the interpretive or policy statement may be obtained.
- 28 (5) When a person requests a copy of a rule from an agency, the 29 agency shall identify any associated interpretive or policy statements, 30 guidelines, documents of general applicability, or their equivalents, 31 and provide copies of the statements upon request.
- (6) Within two hundred days after an agency issues a policy or 32 interpretative statement, guideline, document of general applicability, 33 34 or its equivalent involving an issue, the violation of which can result in a citation, civil penalty, assessment, or other sanction to a 35 business, the agency shall make a good faith effort to notify 36 37 businesses affected by the statement, guideline, or document and how to obtain technical assistance to comply. For purposes of this section, 38 39 "good faith" means: (a) The agency at least notifies businesses in the

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

- 1 standard industrial classifications or their successor affected by the
- 2 statement, guideline, or document that are registered with the
- 3 <u>department of revenue; or (b) for a statement, guideline, or document</u>
- 4 that applies only to persons or firms that are licensed, registered, or
- 5 operate under a permit, the agency notifies those persons or firms
- 6 <u>holding the license, registration, or permit. Inadvertent failure to</u>
- 7 <u>notify a specific business under this section does not invalidate a</u>
- 8 <u>rule.</u>
- 9 *Sec. 1 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW to read as follows:
- 12 (1) An agency may file notice for the expedited adoption of rules
- 13 in accordance with the procedures set forth in this section for rules
- 14 meeting any one of the following criteria:
- 15 (a) The proposed rules relate only to internal governmental 16 operations that are not subject to violation by a person;
- 17 (b) The proposed rules adopt or incorporate by reference without
- 18 material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state
- 19 statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master
- 20 programs other than those programs governing shorelines of state-wide
- 21 significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national
- 22 consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the
- 23 material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and
- 24 conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;
- 25 (c) The proposed rules only correct typographical errors, make
- 26 address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing
- 27 its effect;
- 28 (d) The content of the proposed rules is explicitly and
- 29 specifically dictated by statute;
- 30 (e) The proposed rules have been the subject of negotiated rule
- 31 making, pilot rule making, or some other process that involved
- 32 substantial participation by interested parties before the development
- 33 of the proposed rule; or
- 34 (f) The proposed rule is being amended after a review under RCW
- 35 34.05.328.
- 36 (2) The expedited rule-making process must follow the requirements
- 37 for rule making set forth in RCW 34.05.320, except that the agency is
- 38 not required to prepare a small business economic impact statement

p. 5

under RCW 19.85.025, a statement indicating whether the 1 rule 2 significant legislative rule under constitutes a RCW 34.05.328(6)(c)(iii), or a significant legislative rule analysis under 3 RCW 34.05.328. An agency is not required to prepare statements of 4 inquiry under RCW 34.05.310 or conduct a hearing for the expedited 5 adoption of rules. The notice for the expedited adoption of rules must 6 7 contain a statement in at least ten-point type, that is substantially 8 in the following form:

9 NOTICE

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

2627

28

THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED TO BE ADOPTED USING AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS RULE BEING ADOPTED USING THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO (INSERT NAME AND ADDRESS) AND RECEIVED BY (INSERT DATE).

- (3) The agency shall send a copy of the notice of the proposed expedited rule making to any person who has requested notification of proposals for the expedited adoption of rules or of agency rule making, as well as the joint administrative rules review committee, within three days after its publication in the Washington State Register. An agency may charge for the actual cost of providing a requesting party mailed copies of these notices. The notice of the proposed expedited rule making must be preceded by a statement substantially in the form provided in subsection (2) of this section. The notice must also include an explanation of the reasons the agency believes the expedited adoption of the rule is appropriate.
- (4) The code reviser shall publish the text of all rules proposed for expedited adoption along with the notice required in this section in a separate section of the Washington State Register. Once the text of the proposed rules has been published in the Washington State Register, the only changes that an agency may make in the text of these proposed rules before their final adoption are to correct typographical errors.

- 1 (5) Any person may file a written objection to the expedited 2 adoption of a rule. The objection must be filed with the agency rules 3 coordinator within forty-five days after the notice of the proposed 4 expedited rule making has been published in the Washington State 5 Register. A person who has filed a written objection to the expedited 6 adoption of a rule may withdraw the objection.
- 7 (6) If no written objections to the expedited adoption of a rule 8 are filed with the agency within forty-five days after the notice of 9 proposed expedited rule making is published, or if all objections that 10 have been filed are withdrawn by the persons filing the objections, the agency may enter an order adopting the rule without further notice or 11 12 a public hearing. The order must be published in the manner required 13 by this chapter for any other agency order adopting, amending, or repealing a rule. 14
- (7) If a written notice of objection to the expedited adoption of the rule is timely filed with the agency and is not withdrawn, the notice of proposed expedited rule making published under this section is considered a statement of inquiry for the purposes of RCW 34.05.310, and the agency may initiate further rule adoption proceedings in accordance with this chapter.
- 21 (8) This section expires December 31, 2000.

34

- *Sec. 3. RCW 34.05.328 and 1997 c 430 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) Before adopting a rule described in subsection ((+5)) (6) of this section, an agency shall:
- 26 (a) Clearly state in detail the general goals and specific 27 objectives of the statute that the rule implements;
- (b) Determine that the rule is needed to achieve the general goals and specific objectives stated under (a) of this subsection, and analyze alternatives to rule making and the consequences of not adopting the rule;
 - (c) Determine that the probable benefits of the rule are greater than its probable costs, taking into account both the qualitative and quantitative benefits and costs and the specific directives of the statute being implemented;
- (d) Determine, after considering alternative versions of the rule and the analysis required under (b) and (c) of this subsection, that the rule being adopted is the least burdensome alternative for those

required to comply with it that will achieve the general goals and specific objectives stated under (a) of this subsection;

- (e) Determine that the rule does not require those to whom it applies to take an action that violates requirements of another federal or state law;
- 6 (f) Determine that the rule does not impose more stringent 7 performance requirements on private entities than on public entities 8 unless required to do so by federal or state law;
- 9 (g) Determine if the rule differs from any federal regulation or 10 statute applicable to the same activity or subject matter and, if so, 11 determine that the difference is justified by the following:
- (i) A state statute that explicitly allows the agency to differ from federal standards; or
- (ii) Substantial evidence that the difference is necessary to achieve the general goals and specific objectives stated under (a) of this subsection; and
- (h) Coordinate the rule, to the maximum extent practicable, with other federal, state, and local laws applicable to the same activity or subject matter.
 - (2) In making its determinations pursuant to subsection (1)(b) through (g) of this section, the agency shall place in the rule-making file documentation of sufficient quantity and quality so as to persuade a reasonable person that the determinations are justified.
 - (3) Before adopting rules described in subsection ((+5)) (6) of this section, an agency shall place in the rule-making file a rule implementation plan for rules filed under each adopting order. The plan shall describe how the agency ((+1)) will:
 - (a) Implement and enforce the rule, including a description of the resources the agency intends to use;
 - (b) Inform and educate affected persons about the rule;
- 31 (c) Promote and assist voluntary compliance; ((and))
- (d) Evaluate whether the rule achieves the purpose for which it was adopted, including, to the maximum extent practicable, the use of interim milestones to assess progress and the use of objectively measurable outcomes; and
 - (e) Provide appropriate training to agency personnel.
- (4) At least twenty days before the effective date of a rule
 described in subsection (6) of this section, the agency is encouraged
 to convene a meeting of interested persons affected by the rule to

1 2

3 4

5

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

2930

- identify ambiguities and problem areas in the rule and determine how to resolve the ambiguities and problem areas. If the agency convenes such a meeting, the agency shall include the meeting in the plan described under subsection (3) of this section.
 - (5) After adopting a rule described in subsection ((+5)) (6) of this section regulating the same activity or subject matter as another provision of federal or state law, an agency shall do all of the following:
- 9 (a) Provide to the ((business assistance center)) department of
 10 community, trade, and economic development a list citing by reference
 11 the other federal and state laws that regulate the same activity or
 12 subject matter;
- (b) Coordinate implementation and enforcement of the rule with the other federal and state entities regulating the same activity or subject matter by making every effort to do one or more of the following:
 - (i) Deferring to the other entity;
- 18 (ii) Designating a lead agency; or

6 7

8

- (iii) Entering into an agreement with the other entities specifying how the agency and entities will coordinate implementation and enforcement.
- If the agency is unable to comply with this subsection ((4)))

 23 (5)(b), the agency shall report to the legislature pursuant to (c) of

 24 this subsection;
- 25 (c) Report to the joint administrative rules review committee:
- (i) The existence of any overlap or duplication of other federal or state laws, any differences from federal law, and any known overlap, duplication, or conflict with local laws; and
- (ii) Make recommendations for any legislation that may be necessary to eliminate or mitigate any adverse effects of such overlap, duplication, or difference.
- 32 (((5))) <u>(6)</u>(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, this 33 section applies to:
- (i) Significant legislative rules of the departments of ecology, labor and industries, health, revenue, social and health services, and natural resources, the employment security department, the forest practices board, the office of the insurance commissioner, and to the legislative rules of the department of fish and wildlife implementing chapter 75.20 RCW; and

- (ii) Any rule of any agency, if this section is voluntarily made applicable to the rule by the agency, or is made applicable to the rule by a majority vote of the joint administrative rules review committee within ((forty-five)) seventy-five days of receiving the notice of proposed rule making under RCW 34.05.320.
 - (b) This section does not apply to:

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

- (i) Emergency rules adopted under RCW 34.05.350;
- 8 (ii) Rules relating only to internal governmental operations that 9 are not subject to violation by a nongovernment party;
 - (iii) Rules adopting or incorporating by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master programs other than those programs governing shorelines of state-wide significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national consensus codes that generally establish industry standards, if the material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule;
- (iv) Rules that only correct typographical errors, make address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its effect;
- (v) Rules the content of which is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute;
- (vi) Rules that set or adjust fees or rates pursuant to legislative standards; or
- (vii) Rules of the department of social and health services relating only to client medical or financial eligibility and rules concerning liability for care of dependents.
 - (c) For purposes of this subsection:
- (i) A "procedural rule" is a rule that adopts, amends, or repeals
 (A) any procedure, practice, or requirement relating to any agency
 hearings; (B) any filing or related process requirement for making
 application to an agency for a license or permit; or (C) any policy
 statement pertaining to the consistent internal operations of an
 agency.
- (ii) An "interpretive rule" is a rule, the violation of which does not subject a person to a penalty or sanction, that sets forth the agency s interpretation of statutory provisions it administers.
- (iii) A "significant legislative rule" is a rule other than a procedural or interpretive rule that (A) adopts substantive provisions

- of law pursuant to delegated legislative authority, the violation of 1 2 which subjects a violator of such rule to a penalty or sanction; (B) establishes, alters, or revokes any qualification or standard for the 3 4 issuance, suspension, or revocation of a license or permit; or (C) 5 adopts a new, or makes significant amendments to, a policy or 6 regulatory program.
 - (d) In the notice of proposed rule making under RCW 34.05.320, an agency shall state whether this section applies to the proposed rule pursuant to (a)(i) of this subsection, or if the agency will apply this section voluntarily.
 - (((6))) (7) By January 31, 1996, and by January 31st of each evennumbered year thereafter, the office of financial management, after consulting with state agencies, counties, and cities, and business, labor, and environmental organizations, shall report to the governor and the legislature regarding the effects of this section on the regulatory system in this state. The report shall document:
 - (a) The rules proposed to which this section applied and to the extent possible, how compliance with this section affected the substance of the rule, if any, that the agency ultimately adopted;
- 20 (b) The costs incurred by state agencies in complying with this 21 section;
 - (c) Any legal action maintained based upon the alleged failure of any agency to comply with this section, the costs to the state of such action, and the result;
- 25 (d) The extent to which this section has adversely affected the capacity of agencies to fulfill their legislatively prescribed mission; 26
- 27 (e) The extent to which this section has improved the acceptability of state rules to those regulated; and
- 29 (f) Any other information considered by the office of financial management to be useful in evaluating the effect of this section. 30
- 31 *Sec. 3 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

22

23

24

- 32 *NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW to read as follows: 33
- 34 Within two hundred days after the effective date of a rule that 35 imposes additional requirements on businesses the violation of which 36 subjects a person to a penalty, assessment, or administrative sanction, 37 an agency shall make a good faith effort to notify businesses affected by the rule of the requirements of the rule and how to obtain technical 38

- assistance to comply. For purposes of this section, "good faith" 1 means: (1) The agency at least notifies businesses in the standard 2 industrial classifications or their successor identified in the rule-3 4 making file as businesses affected by the rule that are registered with the department of revenue; or (2) for rules imposing additional 5 requirements only on persons or firms licensed, registered, or 6 7 operating under a permit, the agency notifies those persons or firms 8 holding the license, registration, or permit. Inadvertent failure to 9 notify a specific business under this section does not invalidate a 10 rule.
- 11 *Sec. 4 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- 12 **Sec. 5.** RCW 34.05.330 and 1996 c 318 s 1 are each amended to read 13 as follows:
- (1) Any person may petition an agency requesting the adoption, 14 amendment, or repeal of any rule. The office of financial management 15 16 shall prescribe by rule the format for such petitions and the procedure 17 for their submission, consideration, and disposition and provide a standard form that may be used to petition any agency. Within sixty 18 days after submission of a petition, the agency shall either (a) deny 19 20 the petition in writing, stating (i) its reasons for the denial, specifically addressing the concerns raised by the petitioner, and, 21 where appropriate, (ii) the alternative means by which it will address 22 23 the concerns raised by the petitioner, or (b) initiate rule-making proceedings in accordance with ((this chapter)) RCW 34.05.320. 24
- 25 (2) If an agency denies a petition to repeal or amend a rule 26 submitted under subsection (1) of this section, and the petition 27 alleges that the rule is not within the intent of the legislature or 28 was not adopted in accordance with all applicable provisions of law, 29 the person may petition for review of the rule by the joint 30 administrative rules review committee under RCW 34.05.655.
- (3) If an agency denies a petition to repeal or amend a rule 31 submitted under subsection (1) of this section, the petitioner, within 32 thirty days of the denial, may appeal the denial to the governor. The 33 34 governor shall immediately file notice of the appeal with the code 35 reviser for publication in the Washington state register. Within forty-five days after receiving the appeal, the governor shall either 36 (a) deny the petition in writing, stating (i) his or her reasons for 37 the denial, specifically addressing the concerns raised by the 38

- 1 petitioner, and, (ii) where appropriate, the alternative means by which
- 2 he or she will address the concerns raised by the petitioner; (b) for
- 3 agencies listed in RCW 43.17.010, direct the agency to initiate rule-
- 4 making proceedings in accordance with this chapter; or (c) for agencies
- 5 not listed in RCW 43.17.010, recommend that the agency initiate rule-
- 6 making proceedings in accordance with this chapter. The governor's
- 7 response to the appeal shall be published in the Washington state
- 8 register and copies shall be submitted to the chief clerk of the house
- 9 of representatives and the secretary of the senate.
- 10 (4) In petitioning for repeal or amendment of a rule under this 11 section, a person is encouraged to address, among other concerns:
- 12 (a) Whether the rule is authorized;
- 13 (b) Whether the rule is needed;
- 14 (c) Whether the rule conflicts with or duplicates other federal,
- 15 state, or local laws;
- 16 (d) Whether alternatives to the rule exist that will serve the same
- 17 purpose at less cost;
- 18 (e) Whether the rule applies differently to public and private
- 19 entities;
- 20 (f) Whether the rule serves the purposes for which it was adopted;
- 21 (g) Whether the costs imposed by the rule are unreasonable;
- (h) Whether the rule is clearly and simply stated;
- (i) Whether the rule is different than a federal law applicable to
- 24 the same activity or subject matter without adequate justification; and
- 25 (j) Whether the rule was adopted according to all applicable
- 26 provisions of law.
- 27 (5) The ((business assistance center)) department of community,
- 28 trade, and economic development and the office of financial management
- 29 shall coordinate efforts among agencies to inform the public about the
- 30 existence of this rules review process.
- 31 (6) The office of financial management shall initiate the rule
- 32 making required by subsection (1) of this section by September 1, 1995.
- 33 **Sec. 6.** RCW 34.05.354 and 1997 c 409 s 208 are each amended to
- 34 read as follows:
- 35 (1) ((Not later than April 1st or October 1st of each year, each
- 36 agency shall submit to the code reviser, according to procedures and
- 37 time lines established by the code reviser, rules that it determines
- 38 should be repealed by the expedited repeal procedures provided for in

- this section. An agency shall file a copy of a preproposal notice of inquiry, as provided in RCW 34.05.310(1), that identifies the rule as one that is proposed for expedited repeal.
- 4 (2))) An agency may ((propose)) file notice for the expedited repeal of rules under the procedures set forth in this section for rules meeting any one ((or more)) of the following criteria:
- 7 (a) The statute on which the rule is based has been repealed and 8 has not been replaced by another statute providing statutory authority 9 for the rule;
- 10 (b) The statute on which the rule is based has been declared 11 unconstitutional by a court with jurisdiction, there is a final 12 judgment, and no statute has been enacted to replace the 13 unconstitutional statute;
- 14 (c) The rule is no longer necessary because of changed 15 circumstances; or
- 16 (d) Other rules of the agency or of another agency govern the same 17 activity as the rule, making the rule redundant.
 - ((+3+)) (2) An agency shall file a copy of a preproposal notice of inquiry, as provided in RCW 34.05.310(1), that identifies the rule as one that is proposed for expedited repeal. The agency shall also send a copy of the preproposal notice of inquiry to any person who has requested notification of copies of proposals for the expedited repeal of rules or of agency rule making. The preproposal notice of inquiry shall include a statement that any person who objects to the repeal of the rule must file a written objection to the repeal within thirty days after the preproposal notice of inquiry is published. The notice of inquiry shall also include an explanation of the reasons the agency believes the expedited repeal of the rule is appropriate.
- ((\(\frac{4}{4}\)\)) (3) The code reviser shall publish all rules proposed for expedited repeal in a separate section of ((\(\frac{a}{a}\) regular edition of))) the Washington state register ((\(\frac{or}{in}\) a special edition of the Washington state register. The publication shall be not later than May 31st or November 30th of each year, or in the first register published after that date)).
- (((5))) (4) Any person may file a written objection to the expedited repeal of a rule. The notice shall be filed with the agency rules coordinator within thirty days after the notice of inquiry has been published in the Washington state register. The written objection

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

- 1 need not state any reason for objecting to the expedited repeal of the 2 rule.
- 3 (((6))) <u>(5)</u> If no written objections to the expedited repeal of a 4 rule are filed with the agency within thirty days after the preproposal 5 notice of inquiry is published, the agency may enter an order repealing 6 the rule without further notice or an opportunity for a public hearing.
- 7 The order shall be published in the manner required by this chapter for
- 8 any other order of the agency adopting, amending, or repealing a rule.
- 9 If a written objection to the expedited repeal of the rule is filed
- 10 with the agency within thirty days after the notice of inquiry has been
- 11 published, the preproposal notice of inquiry published pursuant to this
- 12 section shall be considered a preproposal notice of inquiry for the
- 13 purposes of RCW 34.05.310(1) and the agency may initiate rule adoption
- 14 proceedings in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.
- 15 **Sec. 7.** RCW 34.05.370 and 1996 c 102 s 2 are each amended to read 16 as follows:
- (1) Each agency shall maintain an official rule-making file for each rule that it (a) proposes by publication in the state register, or (b) adopts. The file and materials incorporated by reference shall be available for public inspection.
- 21 (2) The agency rule-making file shall contain all of the following:
- (a) ((Copies of all publications)) A list of citations to all notices in the state register with respect to the rule or the proceeding upon which the rule is based;
- 25 (b) Copies of any portions of the agency's public rule-making 26 docket containing entries relating to the rule or the proceeding on 27 which the rule is based;
- (c) All written petitions, requests, submissions, and comments received by the agency and all other written material regarded by the agency as important to adoption of the rule or the proceeding on which the rule is based;
- (d) Any official transcript of oral presentations made in the proceeding on which the rule is based or, if not transcribed, any tape recording or stenographic record of them, and any memorandum prepared by a presiding official summarizing the contents of those presentations;
- 37 (e) All petitions for exceptions to, amendment of, or repeal or 38 suspension of, the rule;

- (f) Citations to data, factual information, studies, or reports on which the agency relies in the adoption of the rule, indicating where such data, factual information, studies, or reports are available for review by the public, but this subsection (2)(f) does not require the agency to include in the rule-making file any data, factual information, studies, or reports gathered pursuant to chapter 19.85 RCW or RCW 34.05.328 that can be identified to a particular business;
- 8 (g) The concise explanatory statement required by RCW 34.05.325(6);
 9 and
- 10 (h) Any other material placed in the file by the agency.
- 11 (3) Internal agency documents are exempt from inclusion in the 12 rule-making file under subsection (2) of this section to the extent 13 they constitute preliminary drafts, notes, recommendations, and intra-14 agency memoranda in which opinions are expressed or policies formulated 15 or recommended, except that a specific document is not exempt from 16 inclusion when it is publicly cited by an agency in connection with its 17 decision.
- (4) Upon judicial review, the file required by this section constitutes the official agency rule-making file with respect to that rule. Unless otherwise required by another provision of law, the official agency rule-making file need not be the exclusive basis for agency action on that rule.
- *NEW SECTION. Sec. 8. A new section is added to chapter 34.05 RCW to read as follows:
- (1) The legislature finds that under the governor's Executive Order 97-02, agencies have begun systematic reviews of existing rules and have eliminated some unnecessary rules and improved clarity for other rules.
 - (2) Effective July 1, 2001, each state agency shall review its rules that have significant effects on businesses, labor, consumers, and the environment. Agencies shall establish a rules review schedule that allows each rule filing under the criteria of this section to be reviewed every seven years. Agencies shall determine if their rules should be (a) retained in their current form, or (b) amended or repealed, if they do not meet the review criteria specified in this section. Agencies shall concentrate their regulatory review on rules or portions of a rule that have been the subject of petitions filed under RCW 34.05.330 or have been the source of complaints, concerns, or

30

31

3233

34

3536

37

- other difficulties that relate to matters other than the specific mandates of the statute on which the rule is based. Agencies that have already established regulatory review processes shall make them consistent with the requirements of this section. Each agency head shall designate a person responsible for regulatory review who shall serve as the agency's contact for regulatory review.
- 7 (3) The following criteria will be used for the review of each rule 8 identified for review:
- 9 (a) Need. Is the rule necessary to comply with the statutes that
 10 authorize it? Is the rule obsolete, duplicative, or ambiguous to a
 11 degree that warrants repeal or revision? Have laws or other
 12 circumstances changed so that the rule should be amended or repealed?
 13 Is the rule necessary to protect or safeguard the health, welfare, or
 14 safety of Washington's citizens?
- 15 (b) Effectiveness and efficiency. Is the rule providing the 16 results that it was originally designed to achieve in a reasonable 17 manner? Are there regulatory alternatives or new technologies that 18 could more effectively or efficiently achieve the same objectives?
- (c) Clarity. Is the rule written and organized in a clear and concise manner so that it can be readily understood by those to whom it applies?
- (d) Intent and statutory authority. Is the rule consistent with legislative intent of the statutes that authorize it? Is the rule based upon sufficient statutory authority? Is there a need to develop a more specific legislative authorization in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of Washington's citizens?

28 29

30

31

- (e) Coordination. Could additional consultation and coordination with other governmental jurisdictions and state agencies with similar regulatory authority eliminate or reduce duplication and inconsistency? Agencies should consult with and coordinate with other jurisdictions that have similar regulatory requirements when it is likely that coordination can reduce duplication and inconsistency.
- 33 (f) Cost. Have qualitative and quantitative benefits of the rule 34 been considered in relation to its cost?
- (g) Fairness. Does the rule result in equitable treatment of those required to comply with it? Should it be modified to eliminate or minimize any disproportionate impacts on the regulated community? Should it be strengthened to provide additional protection?

- (4)(a) By July 1, 2002, and July 1st of each year thereafter, each 1 2 agency shall report to the rules review committee on the rules reviewed 3 during the previous fiscal year and other measures taken to improve its 4 regulatory program. The reports must include, but not be limited to: 5 (i) The number of rule sections amended or repealed and the number of pages eliminated in the Washington Administrative Code; (ii) a summary 6 7 of rules amended or repealed based on the review criteria in this 8 section; (iii) a summary of agency actions in response to petitions 9 under RCW 34.05.330; (iv) a summary of the results of the agency's 10 review of policy and interpretive statements and similar documents; (v) a summary of the agency's review of reporting requirements imposed on 11 12 businesses; and (vi) recommendations for statutory or administrative 13 changes resulting from the regulatory reviews. More frequent reports may be requested, as necessary. Agencies shall make the reports 14 15 available to persons who have requested notification of agency rule 16 making and shall submit a summary of the report for publication in the 17 Washington State Register.
 - (b) As part of its regulatory review, each agency shall review its existing policy and interpretive statements or similar documents to determine whether or not they must, by law, be adopted as rules. The review must include consultation with the attorney general. Agencies shall concentrate their review on those statements and documents that have been the source of complaints, concerns, or other difficulties.
 - (c) Each agency shall also review its reporting requirements that are applied generally to all businesses or classes of businesses to ensure that they are necessary and consistent with the principles and objectives of this section. The goals of the review must be to achieve reporting requirements that, to the extent possible, are coordinated with other state agencies with similar requirements, are economical and easy to understand, and rely on electronic transfer of information.
 - (5)(a) An agency is deemed to have met the requirements of this section ninety days after publication in the Washington State Register unless the rules review committee receives a written objection of the agency's compliance with the requirements of this section.
 - (b) If a written objection is received within the ninety-day period, the rules review committee will make a determination as to whether the agency did indeed comply with this section.
- (c) If the committee finds the agency has failed to meet the requirements of this section, the agency will have one hundred twenty

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

3435

- days to meet the requirements and receive approval by a majority vote 1 2 of the committee. If the agency fails to comply with the requirements of this section after the one hundred twenty days, the committee may, 3 by a majority vote of its members, recommend suspension of a rule or 4 rules included in the report. Within seven days of that vote the 5 committee shall transmit to the appropriate standing committees of the 6 7 legislature, the governor, the code reviser, and the agency written 8 notice of its objection and any recommended suspension based on failure 9 to meet the rules review requirements. Within thirty days of receipt 10 of the notice, the governor shall transmit to the committee, the code reviser, and the agency written approval or disapproval of the 11 recommended suspension. If the suspension is approved by the governor, 12 13 it is effective from the date of that approval and continues until 14 ninety days after the expiration of the next regular legislative 15 session.
 - (d) If the governor disapproves the recommendation of the rules review committee to suspend a rule or rules in the report, the agency shall treat the transmittal of that decision, along with the findings of the rules review committee, as a petition by the committee to repeal the rule or rules under RCW 34.05.330.
- (e) The code reviser shall publish these transmittals according to RCW 34.05.640(5).
- 23 *Sec. 8 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.

17

18 19

- 24 **Sec. 9.** RCW 34.05.610 and 1996 c 318 s 2 are each amended to read 25 as follows:
- 26 (1) There is hereby created a joint administrative rules review 27 committee which shall be a bipartisan committee consisting of four senators and four representatives from the state legislature. 28 senate members of the committee shall be appointed by the president of 29 30 the senate, and the house members of the committee shall be appointed by the speaker of the house. Not more than two members from each house 31 may be from the same political party. The appointing authorities shall 32 also appoint one alternate member from each caucus of each house. All 33 34 appointments to the committee are subject to approval by the caucuses 35 to which the appointed members belong.
- 36 (2) Members and alternates shall be appointed as soon as possible 37 after the legislature convenes in regular session in an odd-numbered 38 year, and their terms shall extend until their successors are appointed

- 1 and qualified at the next regular session of the legislature in an odd-
- 2 numbered year or until such persons no longer serve in the legislature,
- 3 whichever occurs first. Members and alternates may be reappointed to
- 4 the committee.
- 5 (3) On or about January 1, 1999, the president of the senate shall
- 6 appoint the chairperson ((in even-numbered years)) and the vice
- 7 chairperson ((in odd-numbered years)) from among the committee
- 8 membership. The speaker of the house shall appoint the chairperson
- 9 ((in odd-numbered years)) and the vice chairperson in alternating even-
- 10 numbered years <u>beginning in the year 2000</u> from among <u>the</u> committee
- 11 membership. The secretary of the senate shall appoint the chairperson
- 12 <u>and the vice chairperson in the alternating even-numbered years</u>
- 13 beginning in the year 2002 from among the committee membership. Such
- 14 appointments shall be made in January of each even-numbered year as
- 15 soon as possible after a legislative session convenes.
- 16 (4) The chairperson of the committee shall cause all meeting
- 17 notices and committee documents to be sent to the members and
- 18 alternates. A vacancy shall be filled by appointment of a legislator
- 19 from the same political party as the original appointment. The
- 20 appropriate appointing authority shall make the appointment within
- 21 thirty days of the vacancy occurring.
- *Sec. 10. RCW 34.12.040 and 1981 c 67 s 4 are each amended to read
- 23 as follows:
- 24 (1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section, whenever
- 25 a state agency conducts a hearing which is not presided over by
- 26 officials of the agency who are to render the final decision, the
- 27 hearing shall be conducted by an administrative law judge assigned
- 28 under this chapter. In assigning administrative law judges, the chief
- 29 administrative law judge shall wherever practical $((\frac{1}{2}))$ <u>(a)</u> use
- 30 personnel having expertise in the field or subject matter of the
- 31 hearing, and $((\frac{2}{1}))$ (b) assign administrative law judges primarily to
- 32 the hearings of particular agencies on a long-term basis.
- 33 <u>(2) An employee of the office of the insurance commissioner may</u>
- 34 conduct a hearing as provided in RCW 48.04.010(5).
- 35 *Sec. 10 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- *NEW SECTION. Sec. 11. A new section is added to chapter 43.132
- 37 RCW to read as follows:

- 1 (1) To determine the fiscal impact of proposed rules on units of 2 local government, an agency shall prepare a local government economic 3 impact statement if the proposed rule will impose costs on units of 4 local government.
 - (2) The economic impact statement shall describe the reporting, recordkeeping, and other compliance requirements of the proposed rule and analyze the costs of compliance for local governments. An agency shall file the statement with the code reviser along with the notice required under RCW 34.05.320.
- 10 (3) The department of community, trade, and economic development 11 shall develop a guide to assist agencies in preparing the economic 12 impact statement. The guide shall be developed through a collaborative 13 process with agencies and local governments and other interested 14 persons.
- (4) An agency shall maintain a list of proposed rules for which it prepares an economic impact statement and a summary of the costs. By December 1st of each year, an agency shall submit the list and summary to the joint administrative rules review committee.
- 19 (5) This section does not apply to:

6 7

8

9

22

- 20 (a) A rule proposed for expedited repeal or expedited adoption, 21 unless the agency receives written objection;
 - (b) Rules described in RCW 34.05.310(4); and
- (c) Rules adopted solely for the purpose of conformity or compliance, or both, with federal statutes or regulations.
- 25 *Sec. 11 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- 26 *Sec. 12. RCW 48.04.010 and 1990 1st ex.s. c 3 s 1 are each 27 amended to read as follows:
- (1) The commissioner may hold a hearing for any purpose within the scope of this code as he or she may deem necessary. The commissioner shall hold a hearing:
 - (a) If required by any provision of this code; or
- 32 (b) Upon written demand for a hearing made by any person aggrieved 33 by any act, threatened act, or failure of the commissioner to act, if 34 such failure is deemed an act under any provision of this code, or by 35 any report, promulgation, or order of the commissioner other than an 36 order on a hearing of which such person was given actual notice or at 37 which such person appeared as a party, or order pursuant to the order 38 on such hearing.

- 1 (2) Any such demand for a hearing shall specify in what respects 2 such person is so aggrieved and the grounds to be relied upon as basis 3 for the relief to be demanded at the hearing.
- 4 (3) Unless a person aggrieved by a written order of the 5 commissioner demands a hearing thereon within ninety days after 6 receiving notice of such order, or in the case of a licensee under 7 Title 48 RCW within ninety days after the commissioner has mailed the 8 order to the licensee at the most recent address shown in the 9 commissioner's licensing records for the licensee, the right to such 10 hearing shall conclusively be deemed to have been waived.
- 11 (4) If a hearing is demanded by a licensee whose license has been 12 temporarily suspended pursuant to RCW 48.17.540, the commissioner shall 13 hold such hearing demanded within thirty days after receipt of the 14 demand or within thirty days of the effective date of a temporary 15 license suspension issued after such demand, unless postponed by mutual 16 consent.
- (5) A hearing held under this section must be conducted by an administrative law judge unless the person demanding the hearing agrees in writing to have an employee of the commissioner conduct the hearing.

 *Sec. 12 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- *NEW SECTION. Sec. 13. If specific funding for the purposes of this act, referencing this act by bill or chapter number, is not provided by June 30, 1998, in the omnibus appropriations act, this act is null and void.
- 25 *Sec. 13 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 14. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Passed the House March 10, 1998.

Passed the Senate March 6, 1998.

Approved by the Governor April 2, 1998, with the exception of certain items that were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State April 2, 1998.

- 1 Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:
- "I am returning herewith, without my approval as to sections 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13, Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 4 2345 entitled:
- 5 "AN ACT Relating to administrative law;"

Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 2345 makes numerous changes throughout the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) that proponents claim will improve the rule making process and provide better notification of regulatory actions.

I am deeply committed to meaningful regulatory improvement in state government and have demonstrated that commitment by undertaking a major reform effort under Executive Order 97-02. That program has already resulted in the elimination of nearly 2,000 rules and the rewriting of hundreds of regulations in plain English. Agencies are also eliminating regulatory inefficiencies, improving customer service, reducing conflicting regulations, using negotiated rule making, and expanding effective outreach and voluntary compliance among the regulated community. Those are examples of meaningful regulatory reform, and I welcome proposals that will further those goals.

Unfortunately, most of the provisions in E2SHB 2345 do not further those goals. Sections 1, 3, and 4 would mandate additional notification, meetings, and other requirements for agencies, and would add costs and complexity to the regulatory process. They would also result in additional bureaucratic red tape, and duplicate information and services that are already being provided under current law and practices. In some cases, the language in those sections is ambiguous regarding who should be notified about what actions. Those sections would only create more opportunities for litigation regarding the meaning of the requirements and the extent to which agencies may or may not have complied. Proponents of this bill did not provide hard evidence of system-wide problems that would justify these changes. Anecdotes and disagreements with individual agencies about a rule should not be used as a rationale to make costly changes in the APA that affect all agencies.

Section 8 of the bill would require a rule review process that is similar to that already established in E.O. 97-02. Under that executive order, all agencies are conducting rule review in an efficient and orderly manner, and that review is yielding results. Statutory rule review is, therefore, unnecessary and could open up new opportunities for litigation on technical grounds relating to the adequacy of the reviews.

Sections 10 and 12 of the bill would require the Office of the Insurance Commissioner to use adjudicators from the Office of Administrative Hearings. I vetoed the same sections after the 1997 legislative session, and I am not aware of any evidence that would justify changing the current adjudication process and singling out the Insurance Commissioner for different treatment.

Section 11 of the bill would require agencies to prepare local government economic impact statements on rules that impose any costs on local governments. While funding was made available for this program, the Legislature chose to condition the availability of those funds on enactment of sections 1 and 4 of the bill, which I have vetoed.

Finally, section 13 of the bill is a "null and void" clause that would nullify the entire act if funding is not made available. The supplemental budget act conditions funding for portions of this bill on the approval of certain sections. Since I am vetoing those necessary sections, funding will disappear. Section 13 must, therefore, be vetoed in order to preserve sections in this bill that I have approved.

- For these reasons, I have vetoed sections 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13 of Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 2345.
- With the exception of sections 1, 3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13, Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill No. 2345 is approved."